

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/710,815	08/04/2004		Edward Herbert		4814
23729	7590	03/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Edward Her		•	MCKINNON, TERRELL L		
1 Dyer Cemetery Road Canton, CT 06019-2029				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3743	
				DATE MAN ED 02/06/000	_

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/710,815	HERBERT, EDWARD				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Terrell L Mckinnon	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutingly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	August 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachmen	· ·	_					
2)	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail) S) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbert (U.S. 5,445,215) in view of Wu (U.S. 6,575,231).

Herbert discloses a fan assembly with heat sink comprising;

- a venturi-fan and heat sinking means, and a motor means for rotating the
 venturi fan relative to the heat sinking means;
- the venturi-fan comprising a plurality of venturis (77) that rotate around the heat sinking means proximate to the active heat dissipating surface of the heat sinking means;
- the active heat-dissipating surface of the heat sinking means is exposed to air in a central portion of the venturi where the air is accelerated to remove heat from the heat sinking means.

Herbert's invention fails to disclose the heat sinking means having an active heat surface proximate to the venturi-fan; the venturi-fan and the heat sinking means are cylindrical; the venturi-fan and the heat sinking means are flat; and the plurality of venturis of the venturi-fan are open.

3. However, Wu teaches the use of the heat sinking means (211) having an active heat surface proximate to the venturi-fan (1); the venturi-fan and the heat sinking means are cylindrical; the venturi-fan and the heat sinking means are flat.

Given the teachings of Wu, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the fan assembly with heat sink of Herbert with the heat sinking means having an active heat surface proximate to the venturi-fan; the venturi-fan and the heat sinking means are cylindrical; the venturi-fan and the heat sinking means are flat; and the plurality of venturis of the venturi-fan are open.

Doing so would provide an efficient and improved heat dissipating apparatus.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references cited on the USPTO 892 discloses related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L Mckinnon whose telephone number is 571-272-

4797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrell L Mckinnon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743 March 21, 2005 Page 4